Because the soul is progressive, it never quite repeats itself, but in every act attempts the production of a new and fairer whole. This appears in works both of the useful and the fine arts, if we employ the popular distinction of works according to their aim, either at use or beauty. Thus in our fine arts, not imitation, but creation is the aim. In landscapes, the painter should give the suggestion of a fairer creation than we know. The details, the prose of nature he should omit, and give us only the spirit and splendor. He should know that the landscape has beauty for his eye, because it expresses a thought which is to him good: and this, because the same power which sees through his eyes, is seen in that spectacle; and he will come to value the expression of nature, and not nature itself, and so exalt in his copy, the features that please him. He will give the gloom of gloom, and the sunshine of sunshine. In a portrait, he must inscribe the character, and not the features, and must esteem the man who sits to him as himself only an imperfect picture or likeness of the aspiring original within.

What is that abridgment and selection we observe in all spiritual activity, but itself the creative impulse? for it is the inlet of that higher illumination which teaches to convey a larger sense by simpler symbols. What is a man but nature’s finer success in self-explication? What is a man but a finer and compacter landscape than the horizon figures, — nature’s eclecticism? and what is his speech, his love of painting, love of nature, but a still finer success? all the weary miles and tons of space and bulk left out, and the spirit or moral of it contracted into a musical word, or the most cunning stroke of the pencil?

But the artist must employ the symbols in use in his day and nation, to convey his enlarged sense to his fellow-men. Thus the new in art is always formed out of the old. The Genius of the Hour sets his ineffaceable seal on the work, and gives it an inexpressible charm for the imagination. As far as the spiritual character of the period overpowers the artist, and finds expression in his work, so far it will retain a certain grandeur, and will represent to future beholders the Unknown, the Inevitable, the Divine. No man can quite exclude this element of Necessity from his labor. No man can quite emancipate himself from his age and country, or produce a model in which the education, the religion, the politics, usages, and arts, of his times shall have no share. Though he were never so original, never so wilful and fantastic, he cannot wipe out of his work every trace of the thoughts amidst which it grew. The very avoidance betrays the usage he avoids. Above his will, and out of his sight, he is necessitated, by the air he breathes, and the idea on which he and his contemporaries live and toil, to share the manner of his times, without knowing what that manner is. Now that which is inevitable in the work has a higher charm than individual talent can ever give, inasmuch as the artist’s pen or chisel seems to have been held and guided by a gigantic hand to inscribe a line in the history of the human race. This circumstance gives a value to the Egyptian hieroglyphics, to the Indian, Chinese, and Mexican idols, however gross and shapeless. They denote the height of the human soul in that hour, and were not fantastic, but sprung from a necessity as deep as the world. Shall I now add, that the whole extant
product of the plastic arts has herein its highest value, as history; as a stroke drawn in
the portrait of that fate, perfect and beautiful, according to whose ordinations all beings
advance to their beatitude?

Thus, historically viewed, it has been the office of art to educate the perception of beauty.
We are immersed in beauty, but our eyes have no clear vision. It needs, by the exhibition
of single traits, to assist and lead the dormant taste. We carve and paint, or we behold what is
carved and painted, as students of the mystery of Form. The virtue of art lies in detachment,
in sequestering one object from the embarrassing variety. Until one thing comes out from the
connection of things, there can be enjoyment, contemplation, but no thought. Our happiness
and unhappiness are unproductive. The infant lies in a pleasing trance, but his individual
character and his practical power depend on his daily progress in the separation of things,
and dealing with one at a time. Love and all the passions concentrate all existence around
a single form. It is the habit of certain minds to give an all-excluding fulness to the object,
the thought, the word, they alight upon, and to make that for the time the deputy of the
world. These are the artists, the orators, the leaders of society. The power to detach, and to
magnify by detaching, is the essence of rhetoric in the hands of the orator and the poet. This
rhetoric, or power to fix the momentary eminency of an object, — so remarkable in Burke,
in Byron, in Carlyle, — the painter and sculptor exhibit in color and in stone. The power
depends on the depth of the artist's insight of that object he contemplates. For every object
has its roots in central nature, and may of course be so exhibited to us as to represent the
world. Therefore, each work of genius is the tyrant of the hour, and concentrates attention
on itself. For the time, it is the only thing worth naming to do that, — be it a sonnet, an
opera, a landscape, a statue, an oration, the plan of a temple, of a campaign, or of a voyage
of discovery. Presently we pass to some other object, which rounds itself into a whole, as
did the first; for example, a well-laid garden: and nothing seems worth doing but the laying
out of gardens. I should think fire the best thing in the world, if I were not acquainted with
air, and water, and earth. For it is the right and property of all natural objects, of all genuine
talents, of all native properties whatsoever, to be for their moment the top of the world.
A squirrel leaping from bough to bough, and making the wood but one wide tree for his
pleasure, fills the eye not less than a lion, — is beautiful, self-sufficing, and stands then and
there for nature. A good ballad draws my ear and heart whilst I listen, as much as an epic
has done before. A dog, drawn by a master, or a litter of pigs, satisfies, and is a reality not
less than the frescoes of Angelo. From this succession of excellent objects, we learn at last
the immensity of the world, the opulence of human nature, which can run out to infinitude
in any direction. But I also learn that what astonished and fascinated me in the first work
astonished me in the second work also; that excellence of all things is one.

The office of painting and sculpture seems to be merely initial. The best pictures can
easily tell us their last secret. The best pictures are rude draughts of a few of the miraculous
dots and lines and dyes which make up the ever-changing “landscape with figures” amidst
which we dwell. Painting seems to be to the eye what dancing is to the limbs. When that
has educated the frame to self-possession, to nimbleness, to grace, the steps of the dancing-
master are better forgotten; so painting teaches me the splendor of color and the expression
of form, and, as I see many pictures and higher genius in the art, I see the boundless
opulence of the pencil, the indifferency in which the artist stands free to choose out of the
possible forms. If he can draw every thing, why draw any thing? and then is my eye opened
to the eternal picture which nature paints in the street with moving men and children,
beggars, and fine ladies, draped in red, and green, and blue, and gray; long-haired, grizzled,
white-faced, black-faced, wrinkled, giant, dwarf, expanded, elfish, — capped and based by
heaven, earth, and sea.

A gallery of sculpture teaches more austerely the same lesson. As picture teaches the
coloring, so sculpture the anatomy of form. When I have seen fine statues, and afterwards
enter a public assembly, I understand well what he meant who said, “When I have been
reading Homer, all men look like giants.” I too see that painting and sculpture are gymnastics
of the eye, its training to the niceties and curiosities of its function. There is no statue like
this living man, with his infinite advantage over all ideal sculpture, of perpetual variety.
What a gallery of art have I here! No mannerist made these varied groups and diverse
original single figures. Here is the artist himself improvising, grim and glad, at his block.
Now one thought strikes him, now another, and with each moment he alters the whole air,
atmosphere, and expression of his clay. Away with your nonsense of oil and easels, of marble
and chisels: except to open your eyes to the masteries of eternal art, they are hypocritical
rubbish.

The reference of all production at last to an aboriginal Power explains the traits common
to all works of the highest art, — that they are universally intelligible; that they restore to
us the simplest states of mind; and are religious. Since what skill is therein shown is the
reappearance of the original soul, a jet of pure light, it should produce a similar impression to
that made by natural objects. In happy hours, nature appears to us one with art; art perfected,
— the work of genius. And the individual, in whom simple tastes and susceptibility to all
the great human influences overpower the accidents of a local and special culture, is the
best critic of art. Though we travel the world over to find the beautiful, we must carry it with
us, or we find it not. The best of beauty is a finer charm than skill in surfaces, in outlines,
or rules of art can ever teach, namely, a radiation from the work of art of human character,
— a wonderful expression through stone, or canvas, or musical sound, of the deepest and
simplest attributes of our nature, and therefore most intelligible at last to those souls which
have these attributes. In the sculptures of the Greeks, in the masonry of the Romans, and
in the pictures of the Tuscan and Venetian masters, the highest charm is the universal
language they speak. A confession of moral nature, of purity, love, and hope, breathes from
them all. That which we carry to them, the same we bring back more fairly illustrated in the
memory. The traveller who visits the Vatican, and passes from chamber to chamber through
galleries of statues, vases, sarcophagi, and candelabra, through all forms of beauty, cut in
the richest materials, is in danger of forgetting the simplicity of the principles out of which
they all sprung, and that they had their origin from thoughts and laws in his own breast.
He studies the technical rules on these wonderful remains, but forgets that these works
were not always thus constellated; that they are the contributions of many ages and many
countries; that each came out of the solitary workshop of one artist, who toiled perhaps in
ignorance of the existence of other sculpture, created his work without other model, save
life, household life, and the sweet and smart of personal relations, of beating hearts, and
meeting eyes, of poverty, and necessity, and hope, and fear. These were his inspirations, and
these are the effects he carries home to your heart and mind. In proportion to his force, the
artist will find in his work an outlet for his proper character. He must not be in any manner
pinched or hindered by his material, but through his necessity of imparting himself the
adament will be wax in his hands, and will allow an adequate communication of himself,
in his full stature and proportion. He need not cumber himself with a conventional nature
and culture, nor ask what is the mode in Rome or in Paris, but that house, and weather, and
manner of living which poverty and the fate of birth have made at once so odious and so
dear, in the gray, unpainted wood cabin, on the corner of a New Hampshire farm, or in the
log-hut of the backwoods, or in the narrow lodging where he has endured the constraints
and seeming of a city poverty, will serve as well as any other condition as the symbol of a
thought which pours itself indifferently through all.

I remember, when in my younger days I had heard of the wonders of Italian painting, I
fancied the great pictures would be great strangers; some surprising combination of color
and form; a foreign wonder, barbaric pearl and gold, like the spontoons and standards of the militia, which play such pranks in the eyes and imaginations of school-boys. I was to see and acquire I knew not what. When I came at last to Rome, and saw with eyes the pictures, I found that genius left to novices the gay and fantastic and ostentatious, and itself pierced directly to the simple and true; that it was familiar and sincere; that it was the old, eternal fact I had met already in so many forms,—unto which I lived; that it was the plain you and me. I knew so well,—had left at home in so many conversations. I had the same experience already in a church at Naples. There I saw that nothing was changed with me but the place, and said to myself,—‘Thou foolish child, hast thou come out hither, over four thousand miles of salt water, to find that which was perfect to thee there at home?’—that fact I saw again in the Academia at Naples, in the chambers of sculpture, and yet again when I came to Rome, and to the paintings of Raphael, Angelo, Sacchi, Titian, and Leonardo da Vinci. “What, old mole! workest thou in the earth so fast?” It had travelled by my side: that which I fancied I had left in Boston was here in the Vatican, and again at Milan, and at Paris, and made all travelling ridiculous as a treadmill. I now require this of all pictures, that they domesticate me, not that they dazzle me. Pictures must not be too picturesque. Nothing astonishes men so much as common-sense and plain dealing. All great actions have been simple, and all great pictures are.

The Transfiguration, by Raphael, is an eminent example of this peculiar merit. A calm, benignant beauty shines over all this picture, and goes directly to the heart. It seems almost to call you by name. The sweet and sublime face of Jesus is beyond praise, yet how it disappoints all florid expectations! This familiar, simple, home-speaking countenance is as if one should meet a friend. The knowledge of picture-dealers has its value, but listen not to their criticism when your heart is touched by genius. It was not painted for them, it was painted for you; for such as had eyes capable of being touched by simplicity and lofty emotions.

Yet when we have said all our fine things about the arts, we must end with a frank confession, that the arts, as we know them, are but initial. Our best praise is given to what they aimed and promised, not to the actual result. He has conceived meanly of the resources of man, who believes that the best age of production is past. The real value of the Iliad, or the Transfiguration, is as signs of power; billows or ripples they are of the stream of tendency; tokens of the everlasting effort to produce, which even in its worst estate the soul betrays. Art has not yet come to its maturity, if it do not put itself abreast with the most potent influences of the world, if it is not practical and moral, if it do not stand in connection with the conscience, if it do not make the poor and uncultivated feel that it addresses them with a voice of lofty cheer. There is higher work for Art than the arts. They are abortive births of an imperfect or vitiated instinct. Art is the need to create; but in its essence, immense and universal, it is impatient of working with lame or tied hands, and of making cripples and monsters, such as all pictures and statues are. Nothing less than the creation of man and nature is its end. A man should find in it an outlet for his whole energy. He may paint and carve only as long as he can do that. Art should exhilarate, and throw down the walls of circumstance on every side, awakening in the beholder the same sense of universal relation and power which the work evinced in the artist, and its highest effect is to make new artists.

Already History is old enough to witness the old age and disappearance of particular arts. The art of sculpture is long ago perished to any real effect. It was originally a useful art, a mode of writing, a savage’s record of gratitude or devotion, and among a people possessed of a wonderful perception of form this childish carving was refined to the utmost splendor of effect. But it is the game of a rude and youthful people, and not the manly labor of a wise and spiritual nation. Under an oak-tree loaded with leaves and nuts, under
a sky full of eternal eyes, I stand in a thoroughfare; but in the works of our plastic arts, and especially of sculpture, creation is driven into a corner. I cannot hide from myself that there is a certain appearance of paltriness, as of toys, and the trumpery of a theatre, in sculpture. Nature transcends all our moods of thought, and its secret we do not yet find. But the gallery stands at the mercy of our moods, and there is a moment when it becomes frivolous. I do not wonder that Newton, with an attention habitually engaged on the paths of planets and suns, should have wondered what the Earl of Pembroke found to admire in “stone dolls.” Sculpture may serve to teach the pupil how deep is the secret of form, how purely the spirit can translate its meanings into that eloquent dialect. But the statue will look cold and false before that new activity which needs to roll through all things, and is impatient of counterfeits, and things not alive. Picture and sculpture are the celebrations and festivities of form. But true art is never fixed, but always flowing. The sweetest music is not in the oratorio, but in the human voice when it speaks from its instant life tones of tenderness, truth, or courage. The oratorio has already lost its relation to the morning, to the sun, and the earth, but that persuading voice is in tune with these. All works of art should not be detached, but extempore performances. A great man is a new statue in every attitude and action. A beautiful woman is a picture which drives all beholders nobly mad. Life may be lyric or epic, as well as a poem or a romance.

A true announcement of the law of creation, if a man were found worthy to declare it, would carry art up into the kingdom of nature, and destroy its separate and contrasted existence. The fountains of invention and beauty in modern society are all but dried up. A popular novel, a theatre, or a ball-room makes us feel that we are all paupers in the almshouse of this world, without dignity, without skill, or industry. Art is as poor and low. The old tragic Necessity, which lowers on the brows even of the Venuses and the Cupids of the antique, and furnishes the sole apology for the intrusion of such anomalous figures into nature, — namely, that they were inevitable; that the artist was drunk with a passion for form which he could not resist, and which vented itself in these fine extravagances, — no longer dignifies the chisel or the pencil. But the artist and the connoisseur now seek in art the exhibition of their talent, or an asylum from the evils of life. Men are not well pleased with the figure they make in their own imaginations, and they flee to art, and convey their better sense in an oratorio, a statue, or a picture. Art makes the same effort which a sensual prosperity makes; namely, to detach the beautiful from the useful, to do up the work as unavoidable, and, hating it, pass on to enjoyment. These solaces and compensations, this division of beauty from use, the laws of nature do not permit. As soon as beauty is sought, not from religion and love, but for pleasure, it degrades the seeker. High beauty is no longer attainable by him in canvas or in stone, in sound, or in lyrical construction; an effeminate, prudent, sickly beauty, which is not beauty, is all that can be formed; for the hand can never execute any thing higher than the character can inspire.

The art that thus separates is itself first separated. Art must not be a superficial talent, but must begin farther back in man. Now men do not see nature to be beautiful, and they go to make a statue which shall be. They abhor men as tasteless, dull, and inconvertible, and console themselves with color-bags, and blocks of marble. They reject life as prosaic, and create a death which they call poetic. They despatch the day’s weary chores, and fly to voluptuous reveries. They eat and drink, that they may afterwards execute the ideal. Thus is art vilified; the name conveys to the mind its secondary and bad senses; it stands in the imagination as somewhat contrary to nature, and struck with death from the first. Would it not be better to begin higher up, — to serve the ideal before they eat and drink; to serve the ideal in eating and drinking, in drawing the breath, and in the functions of life? Beauty must come back to the useful arts, and the distinction between the fine and the useful arts be forgotten. If history were truly told, if life were nobly spent, it would be no longer easy
or possible to distinguish the one from the other. In nature, all is useful, all is beautiful. It is therefore beautiful, because it is alive, moving, reproductive; it is therefore useful, because it is symmetrical and fair. Beauty will not come at the call of a legislature, nor will it repeat in England or America its history in Greece. It will come, as always, unannounced, and spring up between the feet of brave and earnest men. It is in vain that we look for genius to reiterate its miracles in the old arts; it is its instinct to find beauty and holiness in new and necessary facts, in the field and road-side, in the shop and mill. Proceeding from a religious heart it will raise to a divine use the railroad, the insurance office, the joint-stock company, our law, our primary assemblies, our commerce, the galvanic battery, the electric jar, the prism, and the chemist’s retort, in which we seek now only an economical use. Is not the selfish and even cruel aspect which belongs to our great mechanical works, — to mills, railways, and machinery, — the effect of the mercenary impulses which these works obey? When its errands are noble and adequate, a steamboat bridging the Atlantic between Old and New England, and arriving at its ports with the punctuality of a planet, is a step of man into harmony with nature. The boat at St. Petersburgh, which plies along the Lena by magnetism, needs little to make it sublime. When science is learned in love, and its powers are wielded by love, they will appear the supplements and continuations of the material creation.
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